

## ALGEBRAS OF SYMMETRIC HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON $\ell_p$

RAYMUNDO ALENCAR, RICHARD ARON, PABLO GALINDO  
AND ANDRIY ZAGORODNYUK

### ABSTRACT

The authors study the algebra of uniformly continuous holomorphic symmetric functions on the ball of  $\ell_p$ , investigating in particular the spectrum of such algebras. To do so, they examine the algebra of symmetric polynomials on  $\ell_p$ -spaces, as well as finitely generated symmetric algebras of holomorphic functions. Such symmetric polynomials determine the points in  $\ell_p$  up to a permutation.

In recent years, algebras of holomorphic functions on the unit ball of standard complex Banach spaces have been considered by a number of authors, and the spectrum of such algebras was studied in [1], [2] and [7]. For example, properties of  $A_u(B_X)$ , the algebra of uniformly continuous holomorphic functions on the ball of a complex Banach space  $X$  have been studied by Gamelin *et al.* Unfortunately, this analogue of the classical disc algebra  $A(D)$  has a very complicated, ill-understood, spectrum. If  $X^*$  has the approximation property, the spectrum of  $A_u(B_X)$  coincides with the closed unit ball of the bidual if, and only if,  $X^*$  generates a dense subalgebra in  $A_u(B_X)$  (see [5]). In a very real sense, however, the problem is that  $A_u(B_{\ell_p})$  is usually too large, admitting far too many functions. For instance,  $\ell_\infty \subset A_u(B_{\ell_2})$  isometrically via the mapping  $a = (a_j) \rightsquigarrow P_a$ , where  $P_a(x) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j x_j^2$ .

This paper addresses this problem by severely restricting the functions that we admit. Specifically, we limit our attention here to uniformly continuous *symmetric* holomorphic functions on  $B_{\ell_p}$ . By ‘a symmetric function on  $\ell_p$ ’, we mean a function that is invariant under any reordering of the sequence in  $\ell_p$ . Information on symmetric polynomials in finite-dimensional spaces can be found in [9] or [12]; in the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space they already appear in [11]. Throughout this note,  $\mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$  is the space of symmetric polynomials on a complex space  $\ell_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Such polynomials determine, as we prove, the points in  $\ell_p$  up to a permutation. We shall use the notation  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  for the uniform algebra of symmetric holomorphic functions that are uniformly continuous on the open unit ball  $B_{\ell_p}$  of  $\ell_p$ , and we also study some particular finitely generated subalgebras. The purpose of this paper is to describe such algebras and their spectra (which we identify with certain subsets of  $\ell_\infty$  and  $\mathbf{C}^m$ , respectively), and as a result of this we show that  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  is algebraically and topologically isomorphic to a uniform Banach algebra generated by coordinate

---

Received 11 January 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 46J15, 46J20 (primary), 46E15, 46E25, 46G20, 46G25 (secondary).

The first author was partially supported by FAPESP # 0004135 – 8. The work of the second author on this project started while he was a visitor in the Department of Mathematics at the Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal, to which sincere thanks are given. The third author was partially supported by DGESIC pr. no. P.B.96-0758. Finally, the work of the fourth author was supported in part by NSF Grant no. P-1-2089.

projections in  $\ell_\infty$ . This is done in Section 3, following algebraic preliminaries and a brief examination of the finite-dimensional situation in Sections 1 and 2.

We denote by  $\tau_{pw}$  the topology of pointwise convergence in  $\ell_\infty$ . We follow the usual conventions, denoting by  $\mathcal{H}_b(X)$  the Fréchet algebra of  $\mathbf{C}$ -valued holomorphic functions on a complex Banach space  $X$  that are bounded on bounded subsets of  $X$ , endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sets. The subalgebra of *symmetric* functions will be denoted  $\mathcal{H}_{bs}(X)$ . For any Banach or Fréchet algebra  $A$ , we put  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  for its spectrum: that is, the set of all continuous scalar-valued homomorphisms. For background on analytic functions on infinite-dimensional Banach spaces, we refer the reader to [3].

### 1. The algebra of symmetric polynomials

Let  $X$  be a Banach space, and let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  be the algebra of all continuous polynomials defined on  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . A sequence  $(G_i)_i$  of polynomials is called an *algebraic basis* of  $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$  if for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_0(X)$  there is  $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}^n)$  for some  $n$  such that  $P(x) = q(G_1(x), \dots, G_n(x))$ ; in other words, if  $G$  is the mapping  $x \in X \rightsquigarrow G(x) := (G_1(x), \dots, G_n(x)) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ , then  $P = q \circ G$ .

Let  $\langle p \rangle$  be the smallest integer that is greater than or equal to  $p$ . In [8], it is proved that the polynomials  $F_k(\sum a_i e_i) = \sum a_i^k$  for  $k = \langle p \rangle, \langle p \rangle + 1, \dots$  form an algebraic basis in  $\mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$ . So there are no symmetric polynomials of degree less than  $\langle p \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$  and if  $\langle p_1 \rangle = \langle p_2 \rangle$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_s(\ell_{p_1}) = \mathcal{P}_s(\ell_{p_2})$ . Thus, without loss of generality we can consider  $\mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$  only for integer values of  $p$ . Throughout, we shall assume that  $p$  is an integer,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

It is well known [9, XI, §52] that for  $n < \infty$  any polynomial in  $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathbf{C}^n)$  is uniquely representable as a polynomial in the *elementary symmetric polynomials*  $(R_i)_{i=1}^n$ ,  $R_i(x) = \sum_{k_1 < \dots < k_i} x_{k_1} \dots x_{k_i}$ .

LEMMA 1.1. *Let  $\{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$  be an algebraic basis of  $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathbf{C}^n)$ . For any  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ , there is  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$  such that  $G_i(x) = \xi_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If for some  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ ,  $G_i(y) = \xi_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $x = y$  up to a permutation.*

*Proof.* First, we suppose that  $G_i = R_i$ . Then, according to the Vieta formulae [9], the solutions of the equation

$$x^n - \xi_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n \xi_n = 0$$

satisfy the conditions  $R_i(x) = \xi_i$ , and so  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  as required. Now let  $G_i$  be an arbitrary algebraic basis of  $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathbf{C}^n)$ . Then  $R_i(x) = v_i(G_1(x), \dots, G_n(x))$  for some polynomials  $v_i$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Setting  $v$  as the polynomial mapping  $x \in \mathbf{C}^n \rightsquigarrow v(x) := (v_1(x), \dots, v_n(x)) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ , we have  $R = v \circ G$ .

As the elementary symmetric polynomials also form a basis, there is a polynomial mapping  $w : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  such that  $G = w \circ R$ ; hence  $R = (v \circ w) \circ R$ , so  $v \circ w = \text{id}$ . Then  $v$  and  $w$  are inverse to each other, since  $w \circ v$  coincides with the identity on the open set,  $\text{Im}(w)$ . In particular,  $v$  is one-to-one.

Now, the solutions  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of the equation

$$x^n - v_1(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n v_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = 0$$

satisfy the conditions  $R_i(x) = v_i(\xi)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . That is,  $v(\xi) = R(x) = v(G(x))$ , and hence  $\xi = G(x)$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY 1.2. Given  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ , there is  $x \in \ell_p^{n+p-1}$  such that

$$F_p(x) = \xi_1, \dots, F_{p+n-1}(x) = \xi_n.$$

This result shows that any  $P \in \mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$  has a ‘unique’ representation in terms of  $\{F_k\}$ , in the sense that if  $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}^n)$  for some  $n$  is such that  $P(x) = q(F_p(x), \dots, F_{n+p}(x))$  and if  $q' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}^m)$  for some  $m$  is such that  $P(x) = q'(F_p(x), \dots, F_{m+p}(x))$ , with, say,  $n \leq m$ , then  $q'(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = q(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ .

For  $x, y \in \ell_p$ , we shall write  $x \sim y$ , whenever there is a permutation  $T$  of the basis in  $\ell_p$  such that  $x = T(y)$ . For any point  $x \in \ell_p$ , the linear multiplicative functional on  $\mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$  of evaluation at  $x$  will be denoted by  $\delta_x$ . It is clear that if  $x \sim y$ , then  $\delta_x = \delta_y$ .

THEOREM 1.3. Let  $x, y \in \ell_p$  and  $F_i(x) = F_i(y)$  for every  $i > p$ . Then  $x \sim y$ .

*Proof.* Define  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$1 = |x_1| = \dots = |x_k| > |x_{k+1}| \geq \dots \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \geq |y_1| \geq |y_2| \geq \dots$$

If  $|y_1| < 1$ , then for many big values of  $j$ ,  $|F_j(x)|$  will be close to  $k$ , while for all big  $j$ ,  $F_j(y)$  will be close to 0. Thus  $|y_1| = 1$ . Suppose that  $1 = |y_1| = \dots = |y_m| > |y_{m+1}| \geq \dots$ . We claim that  $m = k$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $m < k$ . Then, for many big  $j$ ,  $|F_j(x)|$  is close to  $k$ , while for all big  $j$ ,  $|F_j(y)| < m + 1/2 < k$ . This contradiction shows that  $m < k$  is false; similarly,  $k < m$  is false, and so  $m = k$ .

Let  $\tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$  and  $\tilde{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ . Also, for  $z = (z_i) \in \ell_p$ , let  $z^j$  denote the point  $(z_1^j, z_2^j, \dots)$ . We claim that  $\tilde{x} \sim \tilde{y}$ , where we associate  $\tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbf{C}^k$ , for example, with  $(x_1, \dots, x_k, 0, 0, \dots)$ . Consider the function  $f : (S^1)^{2k} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  given by

$$f(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) = f(u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_k) = [u_1 + \dots + u_k] - [v_1 + \dots + v_k].$$

Since both  $F_j(x - \tilde{x}) \rightarrow 0$  and  $F_j(y - \tilde{y}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and since we are assuming that  $F_j(x) = F_j(y)$  for all  $j \geq p$ , it follows that  $f(\tilde{x}^j, \tilde{y}^j) \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Now,  $f$  is obviously a continuous function, and so it follows that  $f(u, v) = 0$  for any point  $(u, v) \in (S^1)^{2k}$  that is a limit point of  $\{(\tilde{x}^j, \tilde{y}^j) : j \geq p\}$ .

Next, the point  $(1, \dots, 1) \in (S^1)^{2k}$  is a limit point of  $\{(\tilde{x}^j, \tilde{y}^j) : j \geq p\}$ . If the net  $(\tilde{x}^j, \tilde{y}^j)_t \rightarrow (1, \dots, 1)$ , then  $(\tilde{x}^{j+1}, \tilde{y}^{j+1})_t \rightarrow (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ . Consequently,  $f(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = 0$  or, in other words,  $F_1(\tilde{x}) = F_1(\tilde{y})$ . Similarly,  $F_j(\tilde{x}) = F_j(\tilde{y})$  for all  $j$ . From Lemma 1.1 it follows that  $\tilde{x} \sim \tilde{y}$ . So  $F_j(x - \tilde{x}) = F_j(y - \tilde{y})$  for every  $j \geq p$ ; that is,

$$F_j(0, \dots, 0, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots) = F_j(0, \dots, 0, y_{k+1}, y_{k+2}, \dots)$$

for every  $j \geq p$ . If  $|x_{k+1}| = 0$  and  $|y_{k+1}| = 0$ , then  $x_i = 0$  and  $y_i = 0$  for  $i > k$ . Let  $|x_{k+1}| = a \neq 0$ ; then we can repeat the above argument for vectors  $x' = (x_{k+1}/a, x_{k+2}/a, \dots)$  and  $y' = (y_{k+1}/a, y_{k+2}/a, \dots)$ , and by induction we shall see that  $x \sim y$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY 1.4. Let  $x, y \in \ell_p$ . If for some integer  $m \geq p$ ,  $F_i(x) = F_i(y)$  for each  $i \geq m$ , then  $x \sim y$ .

*Proof.* Since  $m \geq p$ , then  $x, y \in \ell_m$ , and from Theorem 1.3 it follows that  $x \sim y$  in  $\ell_m$ . So  $x \sim y$  in  $\ell_p$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 1.5 (Nullstellensatz). *Let  $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$  be such that  $\ker P_1 \cap \dots \cap \ker P_m = \emptyset$ . Then there are  $Q_1, \dots, Q_m \in \mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$  such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m P_i Q_i \equiv 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $n = \max_i(\deg P_i)$ . We may assume that  $P_i(x) = g_i(F_p(x), \dots, F_n(x))$  for some  $g_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}^{n-p+1})$ . Let us suppose that at some point  $\xi \in \mathbf{C}^{n-p+1}$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-p+1})$  and  $g_i(\xi) = 0$ . Then by Corollary 1.2 there is  $x_0 \in \ell_p$  such that  $F_i(x_0) = \xi_i$ . So the common set of zeros of all  $g_i$  is empty. Thus by the Hilbert Nullstellensatz there are polynomials  $q_1, \dots, q_m$  such that  $\sum_i g_i q_i \equiv 1$ . Put  $Q_i(x) = q_i(F_p(x), \dots, F_n(x))$ .  $\square$

## 2. Finitely generated symmetric algebras

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{P}_s^n(\ell_p)$ ,  $n \geq p$ , the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$  generated by  $\{F_p, \dots, F_n\}$ . By appealing to Corollary 1.2, one easily verifies that  $\mathcal{P}_s^n(\ell_p) \cap \mathcal{P}^k(\ell_p)$  is a *sup-norm* closed subspace of  $\mathcal{P}^k(\ell_p)$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Let  $A_{us}^n(B_{\ell_p})$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{bs}^n(\ell_p)$  be the closed subalgebras of  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{bs}(\ell_p)$  generated by  $\{F_p, \dots, F_n\}$ , that is, the closure of  $\mathcal{P}_s^n(\ell_p)$  in each of the corresponding algebras. Note that for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{bs}^n(\ell_p)$ , with  $f$  having Taylor series  $f = \sum P_k$  about 0, we have  $P_k \in \mathcal{P}_s^n(\ell_p)$ . Indeed, if  $f \in \mathcal{P}_s^n(\ell_p)$ , it is immediate that  $P_k \in \mathcal{P}_s^n(\ell_p) \cap \mathcal{P}^k(\ell_p)$  for all  $k$ . Then the same holds for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{bs}^n(\ell_p)$  if one recalls the continuity of the map that assigns to a holomorphic function its  $k$ th Taylor polynomial.

By [6, III. 1.4], we may identify the spectrum of  $A_{us}^n(B_{\ell_p})$  with the joint spectrum of  $\{F_p, \dots, F_n\}$ , denoted by  $\sigma(F_p, \dots, F_n)$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C}^n)) = \mathbf{C}^n$  in the sense that all continuous homomorphisms are evaluations at some point in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ .

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{F}_p^n$  the mapping from  $\ell_p$  to  $\mathbf{C}^{n-p+1}$  given by

$$\mathcal{F}_p^n : x \mapsto (F_p(x), \dots, F_n(x)).$$

Then  $D_p^n := \mathcal{F}_p^n(\overline{B_{\ell_p}})$  is a subset of the closed unit ball of  $\mathbf{C}^{n-p+1}$  with the max-norm.

Let  $K$  be a bounded set in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Recall that a point  $x$  belongs to the *polynomial convex hull* of  $K$ , denoted  $[K]$ , if  $|f(x)| \leq \sup_{z \in K} |f(z)|$  for every polynomial  $f$ . A set is *polynomially convex* if it coincides with its polynomial convex hull. Recall that the sup norm on  $K$  of a polynomial coincides with the sup norm on  $[K]$ . It is well known (see, for example, [6]) that the spectrum of the uniform Banach algebra  $P(K)$  generated by polynomials on the compact set  $K$  coincides with the polynomially convex hull of this set. Thus,  $[D_p^n]$  denotes the polynomial convex hull of  $D_p^n$ .

THEOREM 2.1.

- (i) *The composition operator  $C_{\mathcal{F}_p^n} : \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{C}^{n+1-p}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{bs}^n(\ell_p)$  given by  $C_{\mathcal{F}_p^n}(g) = g \circ \mathcal{F}_p^n$  is a topological isomorphism.*
- (i') *The composition operator  $C_{\mathcal{F}_p^n} : P([D_p^n]) \longrightarrow A_{us}^n(B_{\ell_p})$  given by  $C_{\mathcal{F}_p^n}(g) = g \circ \mathcal{F}_p^n$  is a topological isomorphism.*
- (ii)  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}_{bs}^n(\ell_p)) = \mathbf{C}^{n+1-p}$ .
- (ii')  $\mathcal{M}(A_{us}^n(B_{\ell_p})) = [D_p^n]$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, the composition operators are well defined and one-to-one, so it remains to prove that they are onto.

In (i), let  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{bs}^n(\ell_p)$ , and let  $f = \sum P_k$  be the Taylor series expansion of  $f$  at 0. Since  $P_k \in \mathcal{P}_s^n(\ell_p)$ , there is a homogeneous polynomial  $g_k \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}^{n+1-p})$  such that  $P_k(x) = g_k(F_p(x), \dots, F_n(x))$ . Put  $g(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-p+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-p+1})$ ; since  $g$  is a convergent power series in each variable, it is separately holomorphic, and hence holomorphic. Note that  $f = g \circ \mathcal{F}_p^n$ .

In (i'), observe that for any  $g \in P([D_p^n])$ ,

$$\|C_{\mathcal{F}_p^n}(g)\| = \sup_{x \in \overline{B_{\ell_p}}} |g \circ \mathcal{F}_p^n(x)| = \|g\|_{D_p^n} = \|g\|_{[D_p^n]}.$$

Thus  $C_{\mathcal{F}_p^n}$  is an isometry, and hence its range is a closed subspace, which moreover contains  $\mathcal{P}_s^n(\ell_p)$ ; therefore,  $C_{\mathcal{F}_p^n}$  is onto  $A_{us}^n(B_{\ell_p})$ .

Statements (ii) and (ii') follow from (i) and (i').  $\square$

To conclude, we record the following elementary result, which will be needed in Section 3.

LEMMA 2.2. *If  $(\zeta_1^0, \dots, \zeta_m^0) \in [D_p^m]$  and  $n < m$ , then  $(\zeta_1^0, \dots, \zeta_n^0) \in [D_p^n]$ .*

*Proof.* If  $(\zeta_1^0, \dots, \zeta_n^0) \notin [D_p^n]$ , there is a polynomial  $q$  in  $n$  variables such that

$$|q(\zeta_1^0, \dots, \zeta_n^0)| > \sup_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in D_p^n} |q(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)|.$$

Consider the polynomial  $\tilde{q}$  in  $m$  variables, given by  $\tilde{q}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m) = q(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m) \in D_p^m} |\tilde{q}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m)| &= \sup_{x \in B_{\ell_p}} |\tilde{q}(F_p(x), \dots, F_{p+m-1}(x))| \\ &= \sup_{x \in B_{\ell_p}} |q(F_p(x), \dots, F_{p+n-1}(x))| \\ &< |q(\zeta_1^0, \dots, \zeta_n^0)| \\ &= |\tilde{q}(\zeta_1^0, \dots, \zeta_m^0)|. \end{aligned}$$

But this means that  $(\zeta_1^0, \dots, \zeta_m^0) \notin [D_p^m]$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3. Spectrum of $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$

In the study of the spectrum of  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ , the most decisive feature is that the polynomials  $\{F_p^n\}_{n=p}^{\infty}$  generate a dense subalgebra. Actually, for every  $f \in A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ , its Taylor polynomials are easily seen to be symmetric, using the fact that each such polynomial can be calculated by integrating  $f$  (see, for example, [3]).

Note that there are symmetric holomorphic functions on  $B_{\ell_p}$  that are not in  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ . One such example is  $f = \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} F_k$ . To see that  $f$  is holomorphic on the open ball  $B_{\ell_p}$ , let  $x \in B_{\ell_p}$  be arbitrary, and choose  $\rho < 1$  such that  $\|x\| < \rho$ . Then  $\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} |F_k(x)|$  converges, since the sequence  $(F_k(x/\rho)) = (F_k(x)/\rho^k)$  is null. On the other hand,  $f \notin A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ , since  $f(te_1) = t^p/(1-t^p) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \uparrow 1$ .

First, we shall show that the spectrum of the uniform algebra of symmetric holomorphic functions on  $B_{\ell_p}$  does not coincide with equivalence classes of point evaluation functionals. The example also shows that  $D_p^n$  is not closed.

EXAMPLE 3.1. For every  $n$ , put

$$v_n = \frac{1}{n^{1/p}}(e_1 + \dots + e_n) \in \overline{B_{\ell_p}}.$$

Then  $\delta_{v_n}(F_p) = 1$  and  $\delta_{v_n}(F_j) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $j > p$ . By the compactness of  $\mathcal{M}(A_{us}(B_{\ell_p}))$  there is an accumulation point  $\phi$  of the sequence  $\{\delta_{v_n}\}$ . Then  $\phi(F_p) = 1$  and  $\phi(F_j) = 0$  for all  $j > p$ . From Corollary 1.4 it follows that there is no point  $z$  in  $\ell_p$  such that  $\delta_z = \phi$ . Another, more geometric, way of looking at this example is to fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and consider  $D_p^{p+k} \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ . It is straightforward that  $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \notin D_p^{p+k}$  for  $k \geq p$ , although this point is a limit of the sequence

$$(\mathcal{F}_p^{p+k}(v_n)) = \left(1, \frac{1}{n^{1/p}}, \dots, \frac{1}{n^{(k-1)/p}}\right).$$

Intuitively, the accumulation point  $\phi$  corresponds to the point  $(1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \in \overline{B_{\ell_\infty}}$ .

Let us denote  $\Sigma_p := \{(a_i)_{i=p}^\infty \in \ell_\infty : (a_i)_{i=p}^n \in [D_p^n] \text{ for every } n\}$ . As a consequence of Lemma 2.2,  $\Sigma_p$  is the limit of the inverse sequence  $\{[D_p^n], \pi_n^m, \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $\pi_n^m : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is the projection onto the first  $n$  coordinates; see [4, 2.5]. When  $\Sigma_p$  is endowed with the product topology (that is, the topology of coordinatewise convergence), it is a non-empty compact Hausdorff space by [4, 3.2.13]. Also,  $\Sigma_p$  is a weak-star compact subset of the closed unit ball  $\ell_\infty$ , since the weak star topology and the pointwise convergence topology coincide on the closed unit ball of  $\ell_\infty$ .

Now we describe the spectrum of  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ . It is immediate that it is a connected set; it suffices to recall Shilov's idempotent theorem [6, III.6.5], and to notice that there are no idempotent elements in  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.**  $\Sigma_p$  is homeomorphic to the spectrum of  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ .

*Proof* (See [10, 8.3]). First of all, observe that any  $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(A_{us}(B_{\ell_p}))$  is completely determined by the sequence of values  $\{\Psi(F_n)\}$ , since  $\Psi$  is determined by its behaviour on  $\mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$ , the algebra generated by  $\{F_n\}$ , which is in turn dense in  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ .

We construct an embedding

$$j : (a_i)_{i=p}^\infty \in \Sigma_p \rightsquigarrow \Phi \in \mathcal{M}(A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})),$$

and prove that it is a homeomorphism. Given  $(a_i)_{i=p}^\infty \in \Sigma_p$ , a homeomorphism  $j[(a_i)_{i=p}^\infty] := \Phi$  on  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  is defined in the following way. Every polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$  may be written as  $g \circ \mathcal{F}_p^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some polynomial  $g$  in  $n - p + 1$  variables. Thus we may define  $\Phi(P) := g(a_p, \dots, a_n)$ . Certainly,  $\Phi(P)$  is well defined, since if  $P = h \circ \mathcal{F}_p^m$  for some other polynomial  $h$ , and, say,  $m > n$ , then (by Corollary 1.2)  $h = \tilde{g}$ , where  $\tilde{g}$  has the same meaning as in Lemma 2.2. Hence  $g(a_p, \dots, a_n) = \tilde{g}(a_p, \dots, a_n, \dots, a_m) = h(a_p, \dots, a_n, \dots, a_m)$ . It is easy now to see that  $\Phi$  is linear and multiplicative on the subalgebra of symmetric polynomials. Also,  $|\Phi(P)| = |g(a_p, \dots, a_n)| \leq \|g\|_{[D_p^n]} = \|g\|_{D_p^n} \leq \|P\|$ . Therefore  $\Phi$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$ , and hence it has a continuous linear and multiplicative extension to the closure of  $\mathcal{P}_s(\ell_p)$ , that is, to  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ . We still denote this extension by  $\Phi$ .

Obviously,  $j$  is one-to-one. Moreover,  $j$  is also an onto mapping; indeed, for any  $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(A_{us}(B_{\ell_p}))$ , the sequence  $\{\Psi(F_n)\} \in \Sigma_p$  because  $\{\Psi(F_n)_{n=p}^m\}$  is an element of the joint spectrum of  $\mathcal{M}(A_{us}^m(B_{\ell_p}))$  (obtained just by taking the restriction of  $\Psi$  to  $A_{us}^m(B_{\ell_p})$ ), which we know to be  $[D_p^m]$ . Of course,  $j[\{\Psi(F_n)\}] = \Psi$ , since they coincide on each  $F_n$ .

Next, this embedding is continuous. To see this, observe first that the spectrum  $\mathcal{M}(A_{us}(B_{\ell_p}))$  is an equicontinuous subset of the dual space  $(A_{us}(B_{\ell_p}))^*$ . Therefore,

the weak-star topology coincides on it with the topology of pointwise convergence on the elements of the dense set of all symmetric polynomials, and hence on the generating system  $\{F_n\}_{n=p}^\infty$ .

Finally,  $j$  is a homeomorphism as the continuous bijection between two compact Hausdorff spaces.  $\square$

We can view  $\Sigma_p$  as the ‘joint spectrum’ of the sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=p}^\infty$ , since  $\Phi(F_n) = a_n$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_p$  the mapping  $x \in \overline{B_{\ell_p}} \rightsquigarrow (F_n(x)) \in \mathbb{C}^\mathbb{N}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}_p(\overline{B_{\ell_p}}) \subset \Sigma_p$ . So we may remark that the set  $D_p = \mathcal{F}_p(\overline{B_{\ell_p}}) \subset \Sigma_p$  corresponds to the set of point evaluation multiplicative functionals on  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ . Actually, we have

$$D_p \subset B_{c_0} \cup \{(e^{ni\theta}, \dots, e^{ni\theta}, \dots) \mid \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}.$$

To see this, we first let  $x \in \overline{B_{\ell_p}}$  be such that  $|x_m| < 1$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, as we observed in the proof of Theorem 1.3, the sequence  $(F_n(x))_{n=p}^\infty$  converges to 0. In the case where  $x \in \overline{B_{\ell_p}}$  is such that  $|x_{m'}| = 1$  for some  $m' \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $m'$  is unique,  $x_{m'} = e^{i\theta}$  and, further,  $x_m = 0$  if  $m \neq m'$ . Thus  $F_n(x) = e^{ni\theta}$ .

It is clear that  $\overline{D_p^n} \subset [D_p^n]$ , but we do not know whether this embedding is proper. This is related to a corona-type theorem for  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ , since  $D_p$  is dense in  $\Sigma_p$  if  $\overline{D_p^n} = [D_p^n]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Note that if  $q > p$ , then  $D_p \subset D_q$  and the inclusion is strict. Indeed, let  $x \in B_{\ell_q}$  so that  $x \notin \ell_p$ . If  $\mathcal{F}_q(y) = \mathcal{F}_p(x)$  for some  $y \in \ell_q$ , then  $x \sim y$  in  $\ell_q$  and so  $x \sim y$  in  $\ell_p$ , which is a contradiction.

**PROPOSITION 3.3.**  $\Sigma_p \subset \ell_\infty$  is polynomially convex and coincides with the polynomial convex hull of  $D_p \subset (\ell_\infty, \tau_{pw})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(a_i)_{i=p}^\infty \in \ell_\infty$  be such that  $|P((a_i))| \leq \|P\|_{\Sigma_p}$  for all polynomials  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\ell_\infty)$ . For any  $n \geq p$  and any  $g \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1-p})$ , the mapping  $Q$  given by  $(x_i)_{i=p}^\infty \in \ell_\infty \rightsquigarrow g(x_p, \dots, x_n)$  is a polynomial on  $\ell_\infty$ . Hence

$$|g(a_p, \dots, a_n)| = |Q((a_i))| \leq \|Q\|_{\Sigma_p} \leq \|g\|_{[D_p^n]}.$$

Therefore  $(a_p, \dots, a_n) \in [D_p^n]$ , as we want, and  $\Sigma_p$  is polynomially convex. So, to finish, it is enough to check that  $\Sigma_p$  is contained in the polynomial convex hull of  $D_p$ . To do this, let  $(a_i)_{i=p}^\infty \in \Sigma_p$ , and let  $P \in \mathcal{P}((\ell_\infty, \tau_{pw}))$ . As  $P$  is pointwise continuous, it depends on a finite number of variables, say  $x_p, \dots, x_n$ . Thus the mapping  $q$  given by  $(x_p, \dots, x_n) \rightsquigarrow P(x_p, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$  is a polynomial on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1-p}$ . Since  $(a_p, \dots, a_n) \in [D_p^n]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |P((a_i))| &= |P(a_p, \dots, a_n, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)| \\ &= |q(a_p, \dots, a_n)| \leq \|q\|_{[D_p^n]} \\ &= \|q\|_{D_p^n} \leq \|P\|_{D_p}, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that  $(a_i)_{i=p}^\infty$  belongs to the polynomial convex hull of  $D_p$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.4.** *There is an algebraic and topological isomorphism between  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  and the uniform Banach algebra on  $\Sigma_p$  generated by the  $w^*(\ell_\infty, \ell_1)$  continuous coordinate functionals  $\{\pi_k\}_{k=p}^\infty$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $f \in A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(A_{us}(B_{\ell_p}))$ , denote by  $\hat{f}(\Phi) = \Phi(f)$  the

standard Gelfand transform, which is known to be an algebraic isometry into  $C(\Sigma_p)$ . Recall that the range of the Gelfand transform is a closed subalgebra which, as we are going to see, will coincide with  $A_p$ , the uniform Banach subalgebra of  $C(\Sigma_p)$  generated by the coordinate functionals  $\{\pi_k\}_{k=p}^\infty$ .

Since  $\hat{F}_k(\xi) = \xi_k$  for  $\xi = (\xi_i)_i \in \Sigma_p$ , it follows that the Gelfand transform of  $F_k$  is the  $k$ th coordinate functional on  $\ell_\infty$ . As  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  is the closure of the algebra generated by  $\{F_k : k \geq p\}$ , it follows that  $\hat{f} \in A_p$  for every  $f \in A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ . Therefore  $A_p$  is precisely the range of the Gelfand transform.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** *The mapping  $S : f \in A(D) \longrightarrow F \in A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  defined by  $F((x_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^p f(x_i)$  is an isometry onto the closed subspace  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  generated by  $\{F_{k+p}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k z^k$  be the Taylor series expansion. For each  $(x_i) \in B_{\ell_p}$ , put

$$F((x_i)) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k F_{k+p}((x_i)) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{i=1}^\infty c_k x_i^{p+k}.$$

Since  $|F_{k+p}((x_i))| \leq \|(x_i)\|^{p+k}$  and the series  $\sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k t^k$  is absolutely convergent in the open unit disc,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{i=1}^\infty |c_k x_i^{p+k}| &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty |c_k| \sum_{i=1}^\infty |x_i^{p+k}| = \sum_{k=0}^\infty |c_k| F_{k+p}(\|(x_i)\|) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty |c_k| (\|(x_i)\|^{p+k}) = \|(x_i)\|^p \sum_{k=0}^\infty |c_k| (\|(x_i)\|^k) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So  $F((x_i))$  is well defined, and  $F((x_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k x_i^{p+k} = \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^p f(x_i)$ .

Also

$$|F((x_i))| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^p f(x_i) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty |x_i^p| |f(x_i)| \leq \|f\|_D \|(x_i)\|^p,$$

and hence  $\|F\|_{B_{\ell_p}} \leq \|f\|_D$ . On the other hand, if  $a \in D$  and  $x_0 = (a, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$ , we have  $x_0 \in B_{\ell_p}$  and  $|F(x_0)| = |a^p f(a)|$ . By the maximum principle, it follows that  $\|F\|_{B_{\ell_p}} \geq \|f\|_D$ . Consequently,  $\|F\|_{B_{\ell_p}} = \|f\|_D$ .

Now we check that  $F \in A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  and then that, actually,  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . To do this, let  $s_m(t) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k t^k$  be the partial sums of the Taylor series of  $f$ , and let  $\psi_n = (1/n)(s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_n)$  be the Cesàro means. Put

$$S_m((x_i)) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k F_{k+p}((x_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^p S_m(x_i).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n((x_i)) &= \frac{1}{n}(S_0((x_i)) + S_1((x_i)) + \dots + S_n((x_i))) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^p (s_0(x_i) + s_1(x_i) + \dots + s_n(x_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^p \psi_n(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

are the Cesàro means partial sums of  $\sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k F_{k+p}$ .

Since

$$|\Psi_n((x_i)) - F((x_i))| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^p (\psi_n(x_i) - f(x_i)) \right| \leq \|\psi_n - f\| \cdot \|(x_i)\|,$$

the uniform convergence of  $\psi_n$  to  $f$  on  $D$  implies the uniform convergence of  $\Psi_n$  to  $F$  on  $B_{\ell_p}$ . So  $F \in A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  and, moreover,  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , since every  $\Psi_n$  is obviously in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The mapping  $S$  being an isometry, its range is a closed subspace of  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ . Therefore, its range is onto  $\mathcal{F}$  since  $F_{k+p}$  is the image of  $z^k$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.6.  $\Sigma_p \neq \bar{B}_{\ell_{\infty}}$  for every positive integer  $p$ .

*Proof.* We show that no point of the form  $(e^{i\theta}, \pm 1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$  is in  $\Sigma_p$ . This will follow from Proposition 3.5, applied to every linear fractional transformation  $f(z) = (z - a)/(1 - \bar{a}z)$ ,  $|a| < 1$ , for which the Taylor series

$$f(z) = -a + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}^{n-1} (1 - |a|^2) z^n$$

has radius of convergence bigger than 1. Its image  $F$  by the mapping  $S$  in Proposition 3.5 is  $F = -aF_p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}^{n-1} (1 - |a|^2) F_{n+p}$ . Moreover, the convergence of this series is uniform on  $B_{\ell_p}$ , and therefore the Gelfand transform of  $F$  is

$$\hat{F} = -a\pi_p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}^{n-1} (1 - |a|^2) \pi_{n+p}.$$

Choose  $\theta$  such that  $-ae^{i\theta} = |a|$ , and assume that the point  $(e^{i\theta}, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$  is in  $\Sigma_p$ . Then  $|\hat{F}(e^{i\theta}, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)| \leq \|F\| = \|f\| = 1$ . However,

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{F}(e^{i\theta}, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)| &= \left| \left( -a\pi_p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}^{n-1} (1 - |a|^2) \pi_{n+p} \right) (e^{i\theta}, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \right| \\ &= | -ae^{i\theta} + 1 - |a|^2 | = |a| + 1 - |a|^2 > 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

We remark that arguments similar to those in Theorem 1.3 enable us to show that no point of the form  $(1, -1, -1, z_4, z_5, \dots) \in \bar{B}_{\ell_{\infty}}$  can be in  $\Sigma_p$ .

Our final result describes the class of functionals on  $\ell_{\infty}$  that belong to the range of  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  under the Gelfand transform, thereby completing a circle of connections between  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ ,  $A(D)$ ,  $C(\Sigma_p)$ , and certain functionals on  $\ell_{\infty}$ . Recall that such Gelfand transforms are weak-star continuous on  $\Sigma_p$ .

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let  $\phi$  be a linear functional on  $\ell_{\infty}$  that is weak-star continuous on  $\Sigma_p$ . Then  $\phi$  is the Gelfand transform of some  $F \in A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  and, furthermore, there is  $f \in A(D)$  with  $\|\phi\|_{\Sigma_p} = \|f\|_D$  and such that*

$$\phi(\mathcal{F}_p(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^p f(a_i), \quad x = (a_i) \in B_{\ell_p}.$$

*Proof.* Every  $(a_i)_{i=p}^{\infty} \in \Sigma_p$  is the  $w(\ell_{\infty}, \ell_1)$  convergent series  $\sum_{i=p}^{\infty} a_i e_i$ . Therefore  $\phi((a_i)) = \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} a_i \phi(e_i)$  and, setting  $c_i = \phi(e_i)$ , we find that the series  $\sum_{i=p}^{\infty} c_i \pi_i$  is

pointwise convergent in  $\Sigma_p$  to  $\phi$ . Moreover, the partial sums of this series are uniformly bounded on  $\Sigma_p$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=p}^l c_j \pi_j((a_i)) \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=p}^l c_j a_j \right| = \left| \sum_{j=p}^l \phi(e_j) a_j \right| \\ &= |\phi(a_p, \dots, a_l, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)| \leq \|\phi\|_{\ell_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\phi$  is the weak limit in  $C(\Sigma_p)$  of the series  $\sum_{i=p}^\infty c_i \pi_i$ . Since each of the terms in the series belongs to the range of the Gelfand transform, it follows that there is  $F \in A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$  such that  $\hat{F} = \phi$ , and also that the series  $F = \sum_{i=p}^\infty c_i F_i$  converges weakly in  $A_{us}(B_{\ell_p})$ .

Note that  $\|\phi\|_{\Sigma_p} = \|F\|_{B_{\ell_p}}$ , and also that  $F$  belongs to the weakly closed subspace  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by  $\{F_{k+p}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ . Thus by Proposition 3.5 there is  $f \in A(D)$  such that  $F(x) = F(\sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^p f(x_i)$ . Therefore,  $\phi(\mathcal{F}_p(x)) = \hat{F}(\mathcal{F}_p(x)) = F(x)$ , as we wanted.  $\square$

### References

1. R. ARON, B. COLE and T. GAMELIN, 'Spectra of algebras of analytic functions on a Banach space', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 415 (1991) 51–93.
2. T. K. CARNE, B. COLE and T. W. GAMELIN, 'A uniform algebra of analytic functions on a Banach space', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 314 (1989) 639–659.
3. S. DINEEN, *Complex analysis in locally convex spaces*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 57 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981).
4. R. ENGELKING, *General topology* (PWN – Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977).
5. P. GALINDO and M. LINDSTRÖM, 'Weakly compact homomorphisms between small algebras of analytic functions', *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 33 (2001) 715–726.
6. T. W. GAMELIN, *Uniform algebras*, 2nd edn, (Chelsea, New York, 1984).
7. T. W. GAMELIN, Analytic functions on Banach spaces, *Complex potential theory (Montreal, PQ, 1993)*, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. 439 (Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994) 187–233.
8. M. GONZÁLEZ, R. GONZALO and J. A. JARAMILLO, 'Symmetric polynomials on rearrangement invariant function spaces', *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 59 (1999) 681–697.
9. A. G. KUROSCHEV, *Curso de algebra superior* (Mir, Moscow, 1977).
10. G. M. LEIBOWITZ, *Lectures on complex function algebras* (Scott, Foresman and Co., 1970).
11. A. S. NEMIROVSKII and S. M. SEMENOV, On polynomial approximation of functions on Hilbert space, *Mat. USSR Sbornik* 21 (1973) 255–277.
12. B. L. VAN DER WAERDEN, *Modern algebra* (Ungar, 1964).

Instituto Tecnológico  
de Aeronáutica  
S.J. Campos, S.P.  
Brazil

ralencar@ief.ita.br

Departamento de Análisis  
Matemático  
Universidad de Valencia  
Doctor Moliner 50  
46100 Burjassot (Valencia)  
Spain

Pablo.Galindo@uv.es

Department of Mathematics  
Kent State University  
Kent, OH 44242  
USA

aron@mcs.kent.edu

Inst. for Applied Problems  
of Mechanics and Mathematics  
Ukrainian Academy of Sciences  
3 b, Naukova Str.  
Lviv  
Ukraine, 290601

sirand@mebm.lviv.ua