Comparative Constructions in Old English Text

Lesya IKALYUK

Vasyl Stefanyk PreCarpathian National University, Ukraine

Comparison is one of the factors of the process of reality reflection in the mind of a person and its reproducing in language activity. It is one of the means of world perception. While cognizing a new object, we compare it with objects already known to us, trying to find similarities or dissimilarities between them in order to perceive the essence of the given object. In language, the category of comparison is rendered with the help of a comparative construction, which includes the subject of comparison, the object of comparison, the basis of comparison, and the index of comparative relations, which can be both explicit and implicit. It should be mentioned that the scholars mainly studied Modern English comparative constructions (Mezenin 1969, Cheremisina et al. 1974, Razmakhnina 1974, Baysara 1975, Yarova 2003); however, a comparative construction was not virtually studied from the diachronic perspective. Accordingly, the objective of our article is to study an Old English comparative construction, namely the syntactic connection of its components.

The object of our study is tetramerous complete and incomplete comparative constructions of Old English with explicit and implicit indexes of comparative relations. The material of our study is the entire selection of comparative constructions of poetic and sacral texts written in Old English, which are "Beowulf", "Exodus", "Daniel", "Christ and Satan", and "Psalms". The total number of the selected comparative constructions is 589.

In order to determine the explicitness and implicitness of the indexes of comparative relations in Old English, we carried out text analysis, which showed that swa, swa swa, swa ... swa (swa ... swa swa / swa swa ... swa), swylce, gelic/gelice, anlic/anlice (onlic), eac swa ilce (swa ylce) are explicit indexes of comparison, and the implicitness of comparative construction is expressed with the help of the degrees of comparison, comparative dative, and the verb genealæcan (cf. Ikalyuk 2006).

Then we made a quantitative analysis of comparative construction, which is shown in Table 1 to determine the difference between the use of explicit and implicit indexes of comparative relations:

Table 1. Proportion of explicit and implicit indexes of comparison in Old English texts

| Index of Comparison | Number of Examples | Percentage Ratio |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Explicit | 327 | 56% |
| Implicit | 262 | 44% |

As we can see from the table, in Old English comparative constructions are more often introduced by explicit indexes of comparative relations than by implicit ones. It should also be mentioned that language means of rendering explicitness and implicitness of indexes of comparison in Old English differ in number, which is presented by Table 2:

Table 2. Proportion of language expression of explicitness and implicitness of indexes of comparison in Old English texts

| Indexes | Text | Psalms | Beowulf | Daniel | Christ and Satan | Exodus | Total |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------|------------------------|--------|-------|
| EXPLICIT | swa (swa swa) | 135 | 30 | 13 | 10 | - | 188 |
| | gelic(e) (onlic, anlic, ungelic) | 52 | 4 | 4 | 3 | - | 63 |
| | swa swa (swa swa swa) | 17 | 5 | - | - | - | 22 |
| | swylc(e) | 15 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 20 |
| | eac swa ylce | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 |

| | (eac swa ilce) | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|----|----|----|-----|
| | swa swa swylce | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| | swa swa (swa swa swa) | 15 | - | 1 | - | - | 16 |
| | swa ylce swa | 1 | ı | - | - | - | 1 |
| | swa swylce | 1 | ı | - | - | - | 1 |
| | anlice swa | 9 | 1 | - | - | - | 9 |
| | swylc swylc | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| Total | 11 | 250 | 44 | 20 | 13 | 0 | 327 |
| II | degrees of comparison | 54 | 120 | 25 | 18 | 41 | 258 |
|)[| genealæcan | 3 | ı | - | - | - | 3 |
| IMPLICIT | comparative dative | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Total | 3 | 57 | 120 | 25 | 19 | 41 | 262 |
| Total amount | 16 | 307 | 164 | 45 | 32 | 41 | 589 |

Table 2. shows that the number of language means used to render explicitness of indexes of comparative relations in Old English exceeds the number of language means used to render the implicitness of indexes of comparison by a ration of 11 to 3, and we did not take into account different variants of indexes separately, but counted them as one index, e.g. Old English *swa* and its variant *swa swa*.

In this article we will study the syntactic connection of the components of comparative construction with explicit indexes, among which the most productive is index swa (swa swa), in accordance with Table 2. It should be mentioned that comparative constructions with index swa (swa swa) in Old English texts are complete, which means that they contain the subject of comparison as well as the object of comparison. The subject of comparison is expressed by noun (N), verb (V), pronoun (Prn), noun phrase (NP), adverb (Adv), and main clause (MC). In its turn, the object of comparison is expressed by noun, adverb, noun phrase, and comparative clause (CC):

N/V/Prn/NP/Adv/MC + swa (swa swa) + N/Adv/NP/CC, for example:

Pa pe wilniað fretan min folc **swa** ænne hlaf, þa ne clypiað to Gode mid godum That the desire eat my folk as one loaf that not cry to God with good weorcum.

action (Psalm 13: 4).

As a rule, the object of comparison in the comparative construction of this type is in postposition; however, there are cases when the object of comparison goes before the subject of comparison, for example:

Efne swa bið gebletsad beorna æghwylc Just as if is blessed man each mann on moldan þe him metodes ege man on land that him of God awe on his dædum drihten forhtað. on his dead Lord is afraid (Psalm 127)

The variant of index of comparison *swa* is *eac swa ylce/ilce*, which is used in a complete comparative construction according to the following pattern:

eac swa ylce/ilce + CC + MC, for example:

And eac swa ylce ælc rihtwis man þe hine singð, he hine singð be And likewise any righteous man that to him sings he him sings about him sylfum, and be þam þe hine unscyldigne dreccað. himself and as him unprotection oppresses (Psalm 25)

besides, the comparative construction with index eac swa ylce/ilce can be incomplete, as well:

eac swa ilce + NP, for example:

Mine eagan wæron gedrefede and afærde for þinum yrre, and eac swa ilce My eyes were troubled and frightened for your anger and likewise min mod and min maga my spirit and my maw (Psalm 30: 10)

The variant of index *swa swa* is *swa ylce swa*, which is used in a complete comparative construction to introduce a comparative clause as the object of comparison:

MC + swa ylce swa + CC, for example:

Hu ne eart pu min cyning and min Drihten, **swa ylce swa** pu hiora wære, pu How not are you my king and my Lord like you their were you pe bebude hælo cuman to Iacobes cynne? that stayed salvation to come to Iacobe's kingdom (Psalm 43: 5)

One of the constituents of complete comparative constructions is also the marker of comparison swylc(e). The subject of comparison in such comparative constructions is expressed by noun, pronoun, noun phrase, and main clause; the object of comparison is expressed by noun, pronoun, noun phrase, and comparative clause. The connection of the components in the construction of this type may be shown as follows:

N / Prn / NP / MC + swylc(e) + N / Prn / NP / CC, for example:

Ridend swefað, The rider sleeps

hæleð in hoðman; nis þær hearpan sweg, hero in darkness not is there of harp sound gomen in geardum, swylce ðær iu wæron. no men in the yard as there earlier were (Beowulf, 2457)

Another complete comparative construction is a construction with gelic(e) and its variants – onlic, anlic, and ungelic. In contrast to like in Modern English, Old English gelic is an adjective, and gelice is an adverb; besides, in the comparative construction, it is used in the positive degree as well as in the comparative and superlative degrees of comparison – gelicra and gelicost / gelicast, respectively, for example:

- positive degree

Næs he bearwe **gelic**, Not was it wood like ac he hlifode to heofontunglum but it rose to heaven's stars (Daniel, 499)

- comparative degree

```
Ac þa unrihtwisan ne beoð na swylce, ne him eac swa ne limpð, ac hi beoð But the unrighteous not is not so not it also so not happens but they are duste gelicran þonne hit wind toblæwð.

dust more like than it wind blew (Psalm 1: 4)

- superlative degree
```

Rape æfter þon
Quickly after that
on fagne flor feond treddode,
on brilliant floor the fiend trod
eode yrremod; him of eagum stod
went of angry mood him from eyes stood
ligge gelicost leoht unfæger.
fires most alike light deformed (Beowulf, 725)

As we have already mentioned, the comparative constructions of this type are complete because they contain all the components of the construction, namely the subject of comparison is expressed by noun, pronoun, noun phrase, and main clause; the object of comparison is expressed by noun, pronoun, noun phrase, and comparative clause; the basis of comparison is rendered with the help of a verb or is reconstructed from the context. These comparative constructions may have the following pattern:

N / Prn / NP / MC + gelic(e) / onlic / anlic / ungelic + N / Prn / NP / CC, for example:

Him bær on ofne owiht ne derede, Them there in furnace at all not hurt ac wæs bær inne ealles gelicost but was there in all most alike efne bonne on sumera sunne scineð, equal as when in summer the sun shines and deaw dryge on dæge weorðeð, and the dew dry in day becomes winde geondsawen. by wind scattered (Daniel, 273)

The special feature of the marker of comparison in these comparative constructions is its location in postposition in relation to the object of comparison, for example:

```
Soðfæste men, sunnan gelice,
Truthful men sun alike
fægre gefrætewod in heora fæder rice
beautifully crowned in their Father's kingdom
scinað in sceldbyrig.
shine in city of refuge (Christ and Satan, 306)
```

In the Old English texts, there are also comparative constructions, in which index *anlic* is used with index *swa*; adjective *anlic* is used in the positive as well as superlative degrees. When it is in the positive and superlative degrees of comparison, *anlic* can be intensified by *samod/samed*. So, in the comparative construction of this type, the index of comparative relations can be double: *samod/samed anlic/anlicast(est) swa* and *anlicost(ast) swa*. In the first case, the subject of comparison is expressed by noun phrase, pronoun, and main clause, and the object of comparison is expressed by noun phrase and comparative clause:

NP / Prn / MC + samed anlic/anlicast(est) swa + NP / CC, for example:

Synd pine bearn swylce samed anlicast,
Are your children likewise like
swa elebeamas æpele weaxen,
olive-trees excellent grown fruitlful
ymb pinne beod utan blæda standen.
around your table wothout leaves stand (Psalm 127)

In the second case, the subject of comparison is expressed with the help of noun and main clause, and the object of comparison is expressed with the help of comparative clause:

For þinum eagum, ece drihten, For your eyes eternal Lord þusend wintra bið þon anlicast, thousand years are now like swa geostran dæg gegan wære yesterday passed (Psalm 89)

While comparing things according to the same features, the construction with swa ... swa (swa ... swa swa) is used. The special feature of the construction with swa ... swa (swa ... swa swa) is a fixed order of words and explicit expression of all the four constituents of a comparative construction. It means that the comparative construction of this type is complete; it always contains four constituents. In Old English comparative construction of this type, the subject of comparison is expressed by noun, verb, noun phrase, and main clause. The object of comparison is expressed by noun, noun phrase, and comparative clause.

Thus, while comparing things according to the same features, the basis of comparison, which is the nucleus of paired conjunction *swa* ... *swa* (*swa* ... *swa swa*), is expressed by adjective, adjective phrase (AP). While comparing actions according to the same features, the basis of comparison can be expressed by adverb and adverbial phrase (AdvP), which can be seen from the patterns showing the correlation of the components of the comparative construction:

$$N/NP/MC + swa + A/AP + swa/swa swa + N/NP/CC$$
, for example:

Heora mod and heora wilnuncg ys swa deop swa grundleas pytt, and heora tungan Their spirit and their desire is as deep as bottomless pit and their tongue sprecað symle facn.

say always evil (Psalm 5: 11)

V / MC + swa + Adv / AdvP + swa / swa swa + N / CC, for example:

ond æt feohgyftum Folcwaldan sunu and at bounty-giving Folcwald's son dogra gehwylce Dene weorbode, Danes should honor day every Hengestes heap hringum wenede Hengest's folk with rings favor efne **swa** swiðe sincgestreonum even as truly with treasures fættan goldes, swa he Fresena cyn fretted gold as he Frissian kin on beorsele byldan wolde. in beer-hall encourage would (Beowulf, 1089) In the Old English texts, there are comparative constructions, the subject and object of which are expressed by clauses introduced by the following conjunctions: *swylc... swylc..., swa... swa..., swa...swylce...* Ta *swa swa...swa...*. The object of comparison is in postposition as well as in preposition in relation to the subject of comparison:

- postposition

Swylc scolde eorl wesan,
Such should earl be

æpeling ærgod, swylc æschere wæs!

nobleman fair as Aeschere was (Beowulf, 1328)

- preposition

Swa se beam geweox,
As the tree grew up
heah to heofonum, swa pu hæleðum eart
high to heaven so you to men are
ana eallum eorðbuendum
over all earth dwellers
weard and wisa.
lord and leader (Daniel, 562)

In conclusion, the subject of comparison in Old English comparative constructions can be expressed by noun, verb, pronoun, adjective, adverb, noun phrase, and main clause; the object of comparison can be expressed by noun, verb, pronoun, adjective, adverb, noun phrase, and comparative clause, respectively.

References

Байсара, Л.И., 1975. Грамматические средства выражения сравнения в современном английском языке. / Опыт семантического и синтаксического исследования/. Киев.

Мезенин, С.М., 1969. Конструкции современного английского языка, имеющие значение сравнения: Атореф. дисс. ... канд. филол. наук. Москва.

Размахнина, Н.К., 1974. «Сложные модальные сравнения в английском языке с союзами as if, as though». В помощь преподавателям иностранных языков: Выпуск 6: 3-27.

Черемисина, М.И., Размахнина, Н.К., Стекленева, Т.Г., 1974. «Структурные типы сравнительных конструкций с союзом like». В помощь преподавателям иностранных языков: Выпуск 5: 3-30.

Ярова, Н.В., 2003. Компаративні блоки у сучасній американській поезії: лінгвокогнітивний аспект: Дис. ... канд. філол. наук: 10.02.04 / Київський національний лінгвістичний університет. Київ.

Ikalyuk, Lesya, 2006. "Discourse creating feature of the comparative construction". Massages, Sages, and Ages: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on British and American Studies, Suceava University: 499-508.